**Indirect Supervised Learning** 

**Of Content Selection Rules** 

**Pablo Duboue** 

Computer Science Department Columbia University



in the city of New York

### Talk Structure

# • High Level Perspective

- Biographical Descriptions
- Content Planning
- Content Selection
- The Problem
- My Solution
- Experiments
- Conclusions

- **PROGENIE:** Automatic Biographical Descriptions
- Generate immediate up-to-date biographical profiles

- Different, Learned Content Plans
- Columbia University—University of Colorado AQUAINT
  - Open Question Answering
  - Funded by ARDA

### PROGENIE



### **Content Planning**

#### Content Selection

- Choosing the right information to communicate.
- Arguably the most critical part from the user's perspective.

#### Document Structuring

- Conciseness and coherence goals.
- Information in context.
- Domain Dependent Complex Tasks

#### • Input: Set of Attribute Value Pairs

<pre>(name first)</pre>	John	(name last)	Doe
<pre>weight</pre>	150Kg	(height)	160cm
$\langle \texttt{occupation}  angle$	c-writer	(occupation)	c-producer
$\langle$ award title $ angle$	BAFTA	$\langle$ award year $\rangle$	1999
$\langle \texttt{relative type}  angle$	c-grandson	$\langle rel. firstN \rangle$	Dashiel
$\langle \texttt{rel. lastN}  angle$	Doe	$\langle rel. birthD \rangle$	1990

• Output: Selected Attribute-Value Pairs

$\langle \texttt{name first}  angle$	John	$\langle \texttt{name last}  angle$	Doe
$\langle \texttt{occupation}  angle$	c-writer	$\langle \texttt{occupation}  angle$	c-producer

• Example Verbalization

John Doe is a writer, producer, ...



## Indirect Supervised Learning



## Indirect Supervised Learning



### $\bullet$ name $\rightarrow$ first and name $\rightarrow$ last

Rule: TRUE() Always say first and last names.

### education → place → country

Rule: IN("Scotland", "England")

As I used U.S. biographies, the country of education is only mentioned when it is abroad.

#### • significant-other $\rightarrow$ #TYPE

Rule: IN("c-husband", "c-wife")

Mention husband and wives (but not necessarily boyfriends, girlfriends or lovers).

- High Level Perspective
- The Problem
  - Learning Content Selection Rules
  - Text-Knowledge Corpus
- My Solution
- Experiments
- Conclusions

# Learning Problem

# • Input To My Learning System

- A set of text and associated knowledge base pairs

$\langle name first \rangle$	John	$\langle name last \rangle$	Doe	,	John Doe, American writer, born in Maryland in
$\langle \texttt{weight}  angle$	150Kg	$\langle \texttt{height}  angle$	160cm	$\leftarrow \dots \rightarrow$	1967, famous for his strong prose and

7

# • Output

- Content Selection rules, constrained by what is in the data

- Domain Limitations
  - Descriptive Text.
  - Rich in Anchors.

Actor, born Thomas Connery on August 25, 1930, in Fountainbridge, Edinburgh, Scotland, the son of a truck driver and charwoman. He has a brother, Neil, born in 1938. Connery dropped out of school at age fifteen to join the British Navy. Connery is best known for his portrayal of the suave, sophisticated British spy, James Bond, in the 1960s. ...



#### Factsheets



## Input Availability

# • Biology

– Biological KB and Species Descriptions.

# Geography

– CIA Factbook and Country Descriptions.

# • Financial Market

- Stock Data and Market Reports.

# Entertainment

– Role Playing Character Sheets and Character Descriptions.

# Celebrities

- Easily available
- Representative of the learning issues
- Possibility of corpus re-distribution

# • Size

- Knowledge frames for 1,100 different celebrities
- assorted biographies, ranging from 110 to 500
- Knowledge and biographies crawled from independent Websites

All rules take a node in the knowledge representation and return true or false.

TRUE() Always select.

**IN(1994,1995)** Select if the value is in the list.

**TRAVERSE(../../relative/#TYPE,IN(c-cousin))** Select if this is the name of a cousin.

AND, OR Plus logic combinators.

- High Level Perspective
- The Problem
- My Solution
  - Indirect Supervised Learning
  - Technique Overview
  - Example
  - Details
- Experiments
- Conclusions

### Learning Without Hand-labelling

- Employing evidence used by humans to learn



# Learning As Automated Knowledge Acquisition

- Learning Structures That Humans Can Understand.
- Mixing Machine Learning And Knowledge-based Approaches.
- Domain-independence Through Learning.

# • My focus

- Descriptive Texts (Single, Informative, Communicative Goal).
- High-level Content Selection Rules, To Filter Out The Input.

#### • Given:

 $-\left(KB_{1},Bio_{1}\right),\left(KB_{2},Bio_{2}\right),\left(KB_{3},Bio_{3}\right),\left(KB_{4},Bio_{4}\right)$ 

• If:

- $\{KB_1, KB_2\}$  contain ( $\langle birth \rightarrow place \rightarrow state \rangle, MD'$ )
- { $KB_3, KB_4$ } contain ( $\langle \text{birth} \rightarrow \text{place} \rightarrow \text{state} \rangle, `NY'$ )
- Then:
  - Compare the language models of  $\{Bio_1, Bio_2\}$  against  $\{Bio_3, Bio_4\}$ . - If the models differ, select  $\langle birth \rightarrow place \rightarrow state \rangle$ .

- $Bio_1 \Rightarrow$  "... born in Maryland..."
- $Bio_2 \Rightarrow$  "... from Maryland..."
- $Bio_3 \Rightarrow$  "... native of New York..."
- $Bio_4 \Rightarrow$  "... born in New York..."

#### Methods: Indirect Supervised Learning



### Methods: Dataset Construction



#### **Dataset Construction: Exact Match Pipeline**



Harris, Ed. (1950–). Actor. Born November 28, 1950 in Tenafly, New Jersey. Harris' first acting role came at the age of eight when he appeared in The Third Miracle a made for television movie. After studying acting at Oklahoma University ...  $\begin{array}{l} \left< \text{name last} \right> \text{``Harris''} \\ \left< \text{name first} \right> \text{``Edward''} \\ \left< \text{birth date year} \right> 1950 \\ \left< \text{occupation} \right> \text{C-actor} \\ \left< \text{birth date month} \right> 11 \\ \left< \text{birth date day} \right> 28 \\ \left< \text{birth place city} \right> \text{``Tenafly''} \\ \left< \text{birth place province} \right> \text{``NJ''} \dots \end{array}$ 

#### **Dataset Construction: Statistical Pipeline**



17

 $\{KB_1, KB_2, KB_3, KB_4\}$ 

 $\downarrow$ 

 $\begin{aligned} (\langle \text{birth place state } \rangle, `MD') \Rightarrow \{KB_1, KB_2\} \Rightarrow \{Bio_1, Bio_2\} \\ (\langle \text{birth place state } \rangle, `NY') \Rightarrow \{KB_3, KB_4\} \Rightarrow \{Bio_3, Bio_4\} \end{aligned}$ 

# **Dataset Construction: Statistical Pipeline**



- Sample word counts
- From the cluster.
- From outside the cluster.
- Use Student's t-test
- Words found?

 Look for words counts that show a statistically significant difference on the counts.

- The information is included in the text.
- The words are signals of that inclusion.

# Methods: Supervised Learning



# • Genetic Algorithms (GAs)

- An Empirical Risk Minimization Method
- A good optimization technique
  - \* To explore huge search spaces with highly interrelated features.
- Biological Metaphor
- I use them as Symbolic Learners.
- GAs are driven by a **Fitness Function** that tells good solutions from bad.

I use the weighted F-measure from IR as fitness:

$$Fitness = F^*_{lpha} + {
m mdl}$$

where

$$F_{\alpha}^{*} = \frac{(\alpha^{2}+1) PrecRec}{\alpha^{2}Prec + Rec}$$

 $_{MDL}$  = a minimum description length term

This function captures the problem well and allows selecting solutions that prefer precision or recall through the  $\alpha$  parameter.

- High Level Perspective
- The Problem
- My Solution

# • Experiments

- Data
- Dataset evaluation
- Rules evaluation
- Conclusions

Two phases of training and testing

• Knowledge bases from E! on-line (celebrities)

# Corpus 1

- 102 biographies
- From biography.com
- Split into development training (91) and test (11)
- Hand-tagged the test set
- Average length: 450 words

## Corpus 2

- 205 new biographies
- From imdb.com
- Split into training (191) and test (14)
- Hand-tagged the test set
- Average length: 250 words
- Content selection rules to be learned were different

# **Evaluation Of Extracted Dataset**

Exp.	Exact Match	Combined
Prec.	0.75	0.73
Rec.	0.64	0.69
$F^*$	0.69	0.71

#### • Testing Overall Indirect Supervised Algorithm:

- Obtain rules over *Train*
- Hand tag *Test*
- Test rules over Test
- Testing The Unsupervised Part:
  - Obtain labels over Train + Test
  - Compare with the Test labels over *Test* with the ones obtained by hand.

Experiment	biography.com				imdb.com			
	Selected	Prec.	Rec.	F*	Selected	Prec.	Rec.	F*
random	162	0.29	0.48	0.36	369	0.25	0.50	0.33
select-all	1129	0.26	1.00	0.41	1584	0.23	1.00	0.37
EMNLP'03	550	0.41	0.94	0.58	891	0.36	0.88	0.51
only exact match	359	0.64	0.61	0.62	432	0.48	0.65	0.55
combined	292	0.57	0.81	0.67	432	0.49	0.68	0.57
test set	293	-	-	-	369	-	-	-

Experiment	biography.com				imdb.com			
	Selected	Prec.	Rec.	F*	Selected	Prec.	Rec.	F*
random	162	0.29	0.48	0.36	369	0.25	0.50	0.33
select-all	1129	0.26	1.00	0.41	1584	0.23	1.00	0.37
EMNLP'03	550	0.41	0.94	0.58	891	0.36	0.88	0.51
only exact match	359	0.64	0.61	0.62	432	0.48	0.65	0.55
combined	292	0.57	0.81	0.67	432	0.49	0.68	0.57
test set	293	-	-	-	369	-	-	-

Experiment	biography.com				imdb.com			
	Selected	Prec.	Rec.	F*	Selected	Prec.	Rec.	F*
random	162	0.29	0.48	0.36	369	0.25	0.50	0.33
select-all	1129	0.26	1.00	0.41	1584	0.23	1.00	0.37
EMNLP'03	550	0.41	0.94	0.58	891	0.36	0.88	0.51
only exact match	359	0.64	0.61	0.62	432	0.48	0.65	0.55
combined	292	0.57	0.81	0.67	432	0.49	0.68	0.57
test set	293	-	-	-	369	-	-	-

Experiment	biography.com				imdb.com			
	Selected	Prec.	Rec.	F*	Selected	Prec.	Rec.	F*
random	162	0.29	0.48	0.36	369	0.25	0.50	0.33
select-all	1129	0.26	1.00	0.41	1584	0.23	1.00	0.37
EMNLP'03	550	0.41	0.94	0.58	891	0.36	0.88	0.51
only exact match	359	0.64	0.61	0.62	432	0.48	0.65	0.55
combined	292	0.57	0.81	0.67	432	0.49	0.68	0.57
test set	293	-	-	-	369	_	-	_

Experiment	bio	grap	hy.co	om	imdb.com			
	Selected	Prec.	Rec.	<b>F</b> *	Selected	Prec.	Rec.	<b>F</b> *
random	162	0.29	0.48	0.36	369	0.25	0.50	0.33
select-all	1129	0.26	1.00	0.41	1584	0.23	1.00	0.37
EMNLP'03	550	0.41	0.94	0.58	891	0.36	0.88	0.51
only exact match	359	0.64	0.61	0.62	432	0.48	0.65	0.55
combined	292	0.57	0.81	0.67	432	0.49	0.68	0.57
test set	293	-	-	-	369	-	-	-

- High Level Perspective
- The Problem
- My Solution
- Experiments
- Conclusions
  - Current Work
  - Conclusions

### • Join The Two Pipelines

- The Statistical Pipeline now provides new verbalizations for the Search-in-Text approach.
- Execute the Statistical Pipeline when no new verbalizations are found in the text.

## Disambiguation

- Use the context of a found match to decide whether is a real or a spurious match.
- Naïve Bayes.

# Content Selection

- Complex Task.
  - \* Common to NLG and Template-based Systems.
- Requires Customization When Moving to New Domains.

# • My Solution

- Use Machine Learning to Achieve Domain Independence.
- Indirect Supervised Learning
  - Machine Learning Without Hand-tagging
  - Applicable In A Number Of Domains
  - May Be Applicable In Other Areas Of NLG
    - \* Sentence Planning.
    - \* Surface Realization.