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Referring Expression Generation (REG)

• **Classic NLG problem**
  
  – **Input:** set of entities (with a distinguished element), set of triples pertaining to the entities.
  
  – **Output:** a Definite Description, i.e., a set of *positive triples* and *negative triples*.
  
  – Focus (among other things) on running time **efficiency**.

• **Question:** does efficiency matters nowadays?
  
  – Yes, it does.
  
  – We used a large scale *folksonomy* (DBpedia) and a set of naturally occurring entities (from Wikinews).
Can REG Help Summarization?

- **Do we have data for the relevant entities?**
  - Yes, roughly 50% of the time.
  - We used anaphora training data and looked it up on DBpedia by hand.

- **Do we have discriminant data for relevant entities?**
  - Yes, roughly 80% of the time.
  - Measured on Wikinews, Cohen’s $\kappa$ of 79% (small evaluation size, though).

- **Are classic REG algorithms enough?**
  - *Maybe not,* they either fail to produce an output or return a poor description in 60%+ of the cases.
  - But there is hope and our evaluation needs to be extended.
About The Authors
Possible Application To Multi-document Summarization

Use REG to fix anaphoric references drafted from different documents (similar to [Siddharthanan et al., 2011])

• Excerpt from Columbia Newsblaster:

Thousands of cheering, flag-waving Palestinians gave Palestinian Authority President Mahmoud Abbas an enthusiastic welcome in Ramallah on Sunday, as he told them triumphantly that a “Palestinian spring” had been born following his speech to the United Nations last week. The president pressed Israel, in unusually frank terms, to reach a final peace agreement with the Palestinians, citing the boundaries in place on the eve of the June 1967 Arab-Israeli War as the starting point for negotiation about borders.
Three Single Referent REG Algorithms

• DR [Dale and Reiter, 1995]
  – A classic algorithm.
  – Greedy approach, use a default ordering.

• Gardent [Gardent, 2002]
  – An algorithm generating negations.
  – Constraint satisfaction programming.

• Full Brevity (FB) [Bohnet, 2007]
  – More exhaustive search of the solution space
DBpedia [Bizer et al., 2009] is an ontology curated from Wikipedia infoboxes

- Infoboxes are the small tables containing structured information at the top of most Wikipedia pages.
- We used “Ontology Infobox Properties” which contains 1,7520,158 triples (for English).
- We missed Ontology Infobox Types.
Experiments With Anaphora Resolution Training Data

- Hand-annotated corpus [Hasler et al., 2006]
  - 74 documents, 239 coreference chains.
  - 44% in DBpedia
  - 16 documents usable for REG eval (40 REG tasks).
- Failure rate
  - DR: 12 (30%), Gardent: none (0%), FB: 23 (57.5%).
    * Lack of unique differentiating triples.
    * FB ran out of memory multiple times.
- Execution timings
  - DR and Gardent, comparable; FB 16x slower.
- Discard FB
Experiments With Wikinews-derived REG Tasks

• Wikinews, a news service operated as a wiki
  – News articles interspersed with *interwiki* links.
  * Entities disambiguated.

Former [[New Mexico]] {{w|Governor of New Mexico|governor}} {{w|Gary Johnson}} ended his campaign for the {{w|Republican Party (United States)|Republican Party}}

• Finding people and organizations
  – Entity has “birth date”? ⇒ person
  – Entity has “creation date”? ⇒ organization.
  – 4,230 tasks (17,814 runs) for people and 12,998 (44,080) for organizations.
Wikinews Timings And Failure Rates

- **Failure Rates**
  - People
    * DR: 2.8%, Gardent 2% (negations on 14%).
  - Organizations
    * DR: 30.8%, Gardent 0% (negations on 12%).

- **Execution Timings**
  - For people, Gardent was 46x slower.
  - For organizations, Gardent was 29x slower.
  - DR took 3’ for the 44,080 runs for organizations.
Wikinews Human Evaluation

- Evaluating referring expressions is hard.
  - Open Domain: the judges need to be acquainted with all entities in the training set.
- Inter-annotator agreement
  - Random sample of 20 runs, two annotators.
  - Cohen’s $\kappa$ of 60% for annotating DD results.
  - $\kappa$ of 79% for determining whether the folksonomy had enough information to build a satisfactory DD.
- Final evaluation
  - Extended to 60 runs (one annotator).
  - DR: 41.6% accuracy; Gardent: 43.4% accuracy.
  - Folksonomy contained enough information: 81.6%.
Issues

- **DR algorithm issues**
  - Default ordering strategy not stable across different subtypes (e.g., politicians vs. musicians).
  - Recent paper might help (Koolen et al. at INLG’12).
- **Gardent’s algorithm issues**
  - Sometimes it selects a bad triple (an obscure fact).
  - A negative piece of information could just be a missing piece of information.
  - Example: **China** vs. { Peru and Taiwan }
  * “the place where they do not speak Chinese”
- **Robust NLG for noisy (ontological) inputs.**
Conclusions

- A folksonomy can enable traditional NLG referring expression generation for Open Domain tasks.

- Three tasks remain:
  - Dealing with missing information.
    * smart default values, ontological siblings.
  - Estimating salience for ontological information.
    * Search engine salience.
  - Transform the extracted triples into actual text
    * Custom-made grammar.
Efforts to automate this task in NLG [Gatt et al., 2007] have taken an approach similar to machine translation BLEU scores [Papinini et al., 2001], for example, by asking multiple judges to produce referring expressions for a given scenario. These settings usually involve images of physical objects and relate to small ontologies. While such an approach could be adapted to the
• What is Referring Expression Generation (REG)
  – Input: (generation from data), ontological information about the referents
  – Output: Definite Descriptions (DD), set of positive triples and a set of negative triples,
  – Lot of attention in NLG
    * early work: using custom-tailored ontologies
    * recent years: [Belz et al., 2010] “Open Domain Referring Expression Generation,” (OD REG), properties come from a folksonomy, a large-scale volunteer-built ontology.

• Two sets of experiments:
  – one with anaphora resolution training information
roughly half of the entities annotated in the documents were present in the folksonomy
sets of distractors from Wikinews
40k referring expression tasks.
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